

AI Technology and Financial Sustainability – Empirical Evidence from Sharia-compliant Transportation and Logistics Companies at Bursa Malaysia

✉ Abdul Razak Abdul Hadi

Universiti Kuala Lumpur Business School, Malaysia.

✉ abdrazak@unikl.edu.my

ARTICLE INFORMATION	ABSTRACT
<p>Received: 29 January 2026 Revised: 13 February 2026 Accepted: 28 March 2026 Published: 30 April 2026</p> <p><i>Keywords:</i> AI Technology, Financial Sustainability, Halal Supply Chain Sector, Static Panel Data Analysis</p>	<p>This empirical study is driven by the motivation to evaluate the impact of artificial intelligence technology (AI) and prudent financial management on financial sustainability of listed companies in transportation and logistics sector at Bursa Malaysia. Specifically, this study zooms into investigating the operational efficiency and financial strength of the 12 selected Sharia-compliant logistics companies at Bursa Malaysia. These selected companies embrace supply chain analytics and customer intelligence in improving their operational efficiency and sales performance. This study employs static panel data estimation methods to evaluate the intensity of the relationship between the predictors and financial performance of the Sharia-compliant companies (as measured by closing share price) within the framework of resource management and capital structure theories. This empirical study uses cross-sectional and yearly time series data spanning over five years from 2018 till 2022. The statistical results from Pooled OLS and two-way Fixed Effect model indicate that both business intelligence and prudent financial management are significant determining factors of company's value. The combination of digital transformation and prudent financing are two critical factors in augmenting the logistics company's financial resilience in the long-run. A strong positive significant correlation between dividend per share (DPS) and share price (CP) is also observed in this transportation and logistics sector. It is therefore clear to us that the Trade-off theory coupled with Penrose's Growth theory provide meaningful enlightenments on the variability of the performance of the Sharia-compliant companies over the observed sector.</p>



INTRODUCTION

Financial sustainability is imperative for every company, particularly for those in the volatile and risky industry like transportation and logistics sector. It is worthy to note that the transportation and logistics segment is a new sector in Bursa Malaysia covering four sub sectors, namely port management, shipping, transportation and logistics services plus transportation of equipment. It is also important to understand the link between logistics and supply chain management. The two are closely related as logistics is in fact a main foundation of supply chain management. By definition, logistics covers a big scope of business operations starting from purchase planning, procurement, warehousing, production, quality management and transportation of goods. These operations are at the heart of any supply chain activities in a company. The use of big data technology and artificial intelligence (AI) are now common in all logistics companies at Bursa Malaysia and this digital transformation approach is motivated by the needs of those companies to sharpen their business intelligence so as to retain their long-term competitive advantage.

Financial sustainability is the ability of a company to generate sufficient business revenue over a given period to cover all operating expenses and ultimately create a sustainable profitability growth. As for the listed companies in transportation and logistics sector, their financial sustainability is well reflected in their share prices. Those companies that make hefty profits are correctly valued by the market participants and their shares are normally traded at higher prices. For this reason, the share price in the stock exchange actually reflects investors' present and future confidence in the company (Fama, 1970). It is important to understand that sustainable profitability growth requires internal strength within a company whereby increase in assets, revenue and profits are in line with the optimal utilization of the company's available resources. By optimizing the use of internal resources, company is less likely to rely on excessive debt financing.

It is imperative to understand that there are company's specific factors that can predominantly influence market value of its share and this study puts up three key determinants into a test – (i) capital structure; (ii) dividend policy; and (iii) earnings after tax. The capital structure of a company is the combination of the use of equity and debt, as well as hybrid financial securities like preferred shares and loan stock. Equity financing involves the issuance of company shares to private investors and public. Meanwhile, debt financing is provided by financial institutions and bondholders whereby these financial contracts can also be traded in the secondary markets. The optimal capital structure is an ideal notion in which at a point when company's cost of capital is minimized, this situation will result in an optimal debt-equity mix that would maximize the company's value. Basically, this is the underlying objective for all rational enterprises that seek for fulfilling their investors' required rate of return. There are many financial theories that can help explain the notion of optimal capital structure in financial literature.

Dividend policy is another important concept in Corporate Finance. This policy determines the size of dividend payment and a policy on low dividend pay-out is most likely to trigger higher share price in the market. This is based on the premise that higher retained earnings resulted from low dividend pay-out will create positive market sentiment which will potential provide bigger capital gains for shareholders. It is expected, theoretically, that both dividend payment and company's earnings are the two significant variables that will definitely affect the performance of Shariah-compliant companies in the transportation and logistics sector. For this reason, they are categorized as control variables in our estimated model.

It is known to many that research on company's capital structure is not a new theme and it is still relevant in finance literature. As there was a need in 2018 for Bursa Malaysia to redefine the existing sectors to be more reflective of evolving global businesses, this study is undertaken to address the issues of operational efficiency and capital structure in newly classified sectors like transportation and logistics. The findings in this research would definitely be different from previous studies due to the new industry classification standard, the use of AI and some changes in Bursa Malaysia's regulatory frameworks.

This paper has two main objectives. First, this research aims at investigating the impact of capital structure on the market value of those Shariah-compliant companies in the selected sector. Secondly, a comparison over a period of five years (2018-2022) is made between transportation logistics sector and telecommunication industry so as to examine their relative strengths with regard to financial viability and resilience. These two industries have something in common since they have gone through a phase of rapid growth in the era of business globalization and digital technology (Frost and Sullivan, 2023). This positive development is attributed to government supports since these two industries are commanding enablers that help create national competitiveness and to drive economic growth over the coming years. The growth of Malaysia's telecommunication industry has been driven by the increasing urban population and the widespread use of Internet of Things (IoT) among younger groups and business communities.

There are five sections in this paper. Section 2 provides the literature review on the effect of capital structure, dividend policy and earnings on company's intrinsic value coupled with some celebrated capital structure theories. Section 3 describes the estimation methods and justifications for selecting the variables of interest. This is followed by Section 4 which explains the empirical results of the study and section 5 concludes the entire paper by discussing the relevance of some finance theories in amplifying a company's financial sustainability.

LITERATURE REVIEW

Modigliani and Miller (1958) introduce their capital structure theory which comprises of two propositions. The initial proposition posits that the value and capital structure of a firm are inextricably linked, meaning that the value of a levered firm is equivalent to that of an unlevered firm, regardless of the nature of capital structure that is chosen. Corporate managers could select any combination of debt and equity to make up their capital structure under this theory. The second proposition by Modigliani and Miller opposes the idea that the cost of equity increases as leverage increases due to the corresponding increase in the level of risk to equity. They put up that the weighted average cost of capital (WACC) remains constant, as the lower cost of debt is offset by the higher cost of equity. The cost of equity is a linear function of the Debt-Equity ratio (DE) and remains constant regardless of the degree of leverage. In short, Modigliani and Miller advocates that the only relevant factor that strongly influences value of a firm is its future earnings. Interestingly, this notion is in line with Penrose's Growth theory (1959). She argues that future growth or expansion requires the recruitment of more business resources, namely investment in new technologies, fixed assets and intellectual development. Both Modigliani-Miller and Penrose's theories demonstrate that a firm's optimal growth path is determined by its internal capabilities, rather than by capital or financial structure.

Gordon (1963) states the Bird-in-Hand theory suggests that volatility of stock prices is more significantly influenced by dividends rather than by retained earnings. In competitive capital markets, companies that do not pay dividends are exposed to a greater level of risk (Nazir, Ali and Sabir, 2014). Baskin (1989) investigates the influences of stock price volatility and dividend policy. He believes that the volatility of stock returns is determined profoundly by the company's dividend policy. In addition, he explains that the direct impact of dividend policy on stock price volatility will help investors anticipate investment risks. Interestingly, Baskin (1989) postulates that a 1 percent increase in dividend yield could result in a 2.5 percent reduction in stock price volatility.

DeAngelo *et al.* (1980) propose that an optimal capital structure can be obtained by striking the balance between the benefits and costs of debt financing. This is known as the Trade-off theory. Consequently, an optimal capital structure is a position in the DE at which the benefits from debt financing outweigh its costs (Groth *et al.*, 1997). Harris and Raviv (1991) observe that numerous studies have suggested tax issues, costs of financial distress, and agency problems are some of the instances in market imperfections that may affect the optimal capital structure. In addition to their research, there are other external factors that could

also affect an optimal trade-off. For example, the market structure or the nature of an industry itself may influence DE (Schwartz *et al.*, 1967; Long *et al.*, 1985 and Smith *et al.*, 1992). Hovakimian *et al.* (2004) asserts that companies that have less debt level than anticipated are most likely to opt for debt financing. The notion that companies are more likely to adjust towards their target debt ratio is supported by many studies in the past (Graham, 1996 and Flannery *et al.*, 2006). Strong negative correlations between debt ratios and past profitability are observed by Kester (1986), Titman *et al.* (1988), Rajan *et al.* (1995) and Abdul Razak *et al.* (2014). One of the early studies on target debt ratio is undertaken by Wald (1999). He discovers that profitability is the single most significant determinant of the DE in his cross-sectional study focusing on the developed markets in the United States, United Kingdom, Germany, France, and Japan.

Donaldson (1961) and Myers (1977) are the pioneers who advocate the Pecking Order theory. This capital structure theory is closely related to corporate manager's preference in dealing with business financing. This theory elucidates that corporate management prioritizes internal financing over external financing because the former is definitely offering cheaper cost of fund. Equity is found to be infrequently preferred due to its expensive floatation costs and ranked in third placing after internal financing and debt issuance. Furthermore, equity is inherently riskier for external investors than debt and therefore investors typically demand a higher rate of return for the risk that they assume. From a company's standpoint, internal funds like retained earnings is always the first choice (Shyam-Sunder *et al.*, 1999; Chirinko *et al.*, 2000 and Lemmon *et al.*, 2004).

Frank *et al.* (2003) reports that net equity issuance closely tracks the financing deficit. Some researchers emphasize the need to establish a new concept of adequate debt capacity to reinforce the Pecking Order theory. According to a recent study by Serrasqueiro *et al.* (2015), they support the Pecking Order theory as they discover that the oldest and the most profitable small-medium enterprises in Portugal tend to use less debt financing in their business operations. In a straightforward Pecking Order model, a company will finance its viable investment project only after considering the cost of financial distress.

From the past literature, it is evident that the issue of capital structure and how it could possibly affect a company's financial sustainability remains unresolved. Most of the literature suggests the Trade-off theory is still subject to some disagreements on its applicability across countries (Delcours, 2007). As such, this study takes a different approach by developing the following hypothesis to examine the degree of impact of capital structure on market value of Shariah-compliant companies in Transportation and Logistics sector.

H1: Capital structure (as measured by DE) does influence market value of companies in the designated sector

We are also aware that there have been only a few research papers that seriously address the issue of capital structure on newly defined sectors in Bursa Malaysia since 2018. Most of the related studies are conducted before 2018 and their empirical evidence suggest that public-listed companies do prefer opting debt financing. This paper specifically employs a panel data approach to evaluate the relevance of Trade Off theory, Penrose's Growth theory and Pecking Order theory in Malaysia context.

RESEARCH METHODS

This study zooms into investigating the theoretical relation between capital structure and financial sustainability of Shariah-compliant companies in transportation and logistics sector at Bursa Malaysia. In this context, the sector's capital structure is measured by debt-equity ratio (DE) whilst the company's financial sustainability is proxied by the company's year-end closing price (CP). There is a total of 14 companies listed in the logistics sector at Bursa Malaysia and 12 out of 14 are Shariah-compliant companies (see Appendix 1). As this study uses static panel data estimation, this method involves both cross sectional (12 companies) and time series data. The cross sectional and annual time series data spans from 2018

through 2022 are collected from Bloomberg database. Specifically, we deploy two-way static panel data analysis comprising of three competing formulations - Pooled OLS model, Fixed Effect model and Random Effect model as estimation tools. This method is selected by the virtue of its capability to handle larger data set with more variability. For this reason, this estimation method reduces the problem of multicollinearity and endogeneity in our model as advocated by Gooris and Peeters (2016). Karim *et al* (2018) stress the importance of having an underpinning theory to support the deployment of static panel data methodology. Concerning our estimated model's variables, year-end closing price (CP) is designated as dependent variable while the independent variables are made up of debt-equity ratio (DE), dividend yield (DY), earnings per share (EPS) and dividend per share (DPS). The following mathematical expression is deployed to estimate our model's parameters:

$$CP_{it} = \alpha + \beta_1 DE_{it} + \beta_2 DY_{it} + \beta_3 EPS_{it} + \beta_4 DPS_{it} + \pi_i + \mu_{it} \dots (1)$$

Where:

α = the intercept of the regression model.

it = i and t are individual company and time specific effects respectively

CP_{it} = the year – end closing price

DE_{it} = the Debt – Equity Ratio

DY_{it} = the Dividend Yield (%)

EPS_{it} = the Earnings Per Share

DPS_{it} = the Dividend Per Share

$\beta_1, \beta_2, \beta_3, \beta_4$ = the coefficients

π_i = the companies specific effect

μ_{it} : the error term, assumed to be normally distributed.

The deployment of static panel data estimation is justified because our empirical model is strongly supported by a sound financial theory. More importantly, this methodological approach is able to identify and correctly estimate the effects (fixed or random effect) which are not noticeable in either time series analysis or cross-sectional examination. The focus of our research remains on DE since this variable of interest exerts strong influence on company's future performance. Dao and Ta (2020) use meta-analytical approach to acquire a synthesized outcome out of controversial studies on capital decisions and their research points out that capital structure does influence corporate performance over observed period from 1998 until 2017.

In the next section, we present the descriptive statistics of our panel data analysis together with the Pearson correlation matrix. The empirical evidence on how our variable of interest could possibly affect the performance of company in the transportation and logistics sector will also be presented. Similarly, we conduct a significance test to evaluate the theoretical link between the two control variables and the sector's financial sustainability.

RESULT AND DISCUSSION

As mentioned in Section 1 earlier, a comparison is made between the two fast growing sectors in the economy - Transportation & Logistics and Telecommunications & Media. First, we look at the descriptive statistics of the two sectors are depicted in Table 1 and Table 2 below. It is very clear to us that the mean CP of Transportation and Logistics sector from 2018 to 2022 stands at RM1.8448 and this figure is slightly higher than its counterpart. This CP reflects a company's future growth prospects and being in an industry with growth potential, the company's true value is expected to be more valuable than its present value. From the viewpoint of capital structure, the mean DE of Transportation and Logistics sector is somewhat lower at 90.51% as compared to Telecommunications and Media (93.04%). This is parallel with Bursa Malaysia's Shariah standards on debt financing. Every debt financing made by a Shariah-compliant company is capped to a certain level ensuring that it complies with the Shariah guidelines. Looking at the median value of DE, we notice quite the opposite. The median DE of Telecommunications and Media appears to be much lower

than its counterpart over the observed period. On average, the value of EPS and DPS are higher in the Transportation and Logistics sector. It is worthy to note that these two financial ratios are closely related to one another. A dividend payment is made to the shareholders only when the company has made a profit. As suggested by Jum'a et al. (2021), best business practice is of paramount importance for long-run financial sustainability, particularly in the highly volatile and fast growing industry. All in all, we do acknowledge the existence of financial resilience of Transportation and Logistics sector in Bursa Malaysia over the 5-year observation period.

Table 1: Descriptive Statistics of Transportation and Logistics Sector

The Summary (N=60)						
Variable	Mean	Mode	Median	Std Dev	Minimum	Maximum
CP (RM)	1.8447833	1.0000000	1.0000000	2.1635160	0.0410000	8.3500000
DE (%)	90.5137217	8.3869000	43.4702500	188.9037279	0.9215000	1352.16
DY (%)	3.8155300	1.1719000	2.7799000	4.2962883	0	24.2424000
EPS (RM)	0.0514733	0.0058000	0.0222500	0.2174469	-0.4694000	0.7895000
DPS (RM)	0.0571367	0	0.0121000	0.0927605	0	0.3300000

Table 2: Descriptive Statistics of Telecommunications and Media Sector

The Summary (N=85)						
Variable	Mean	Mode	Median	Std Dev	Minimum	Maximum
CP (RM)	1.3166482	0.1200000	0.4200000	1.7339386	0.0150000	5.5000000
DE (%)	93.0387635	0	22.8214000	170.385726	0	899.889200
DY (%)	11.4034376	0	2.1635000	34.7384724	0	149.152500
EPS (RM)	0.0369741	-0.006500	0.0095000	0.1585804	-0.526000	1.0630000
DPS (RM)	0.0384824	0	0	0.0631628	0	0.2000000

Table 3 below shows the Pearson correlation matrix of all the variables used in our estimated model. The correlation coefficients fall in the range between 3.3 percent to as high as 96.1 percent. The highest correlation coefficient is between CP and DPS at 0.9613 and their degree of association is significant at 1% level. This figure implies that dividend payment might be one of the key determinants that may affect the future value of a company. Concerning multicollinearity issue, the value of all correlation coefficients among the independent variables stay below 0.80. Suffice to say that the model is free from any multicollinearity problem. Also, it is important to point out that the lowest correlation coefficient is 0.0338 involving pairs of DY-EPS. Consistent with the work of Kester (1986), Titman *et al.* (1988), and Rajan *et al.* (1995), our analysis also indicates a significant negative correlation between debt level (DE) and profitability (EPS). To be exact, the correlation coefficient between these two is -0.3638.

Table 3: Pearson Correlation Coefficients (P-value)

Variable	CP	DE	DY	EPS	DPS
CP	1.0000	-0.06781 (0.6067)	-0.04971 (0.7061)	0.57832 (<0.0001)**	0.96131 (<0.0001)**
DE	-0.06781 (0.6067)	1.0000	-0.07073 (0.5912)	-0.36387 (0.0043)**	-0.12777 (0.3306)
DY	-0.04971 (0.7061)	-0.07073 (0.5912)	1.0000	0.03381 (0.7976)	0.03681 (0.7801)
EPS	0.57832 (<0.0001)**	-0.36387 (0.0043)**	0.03381 (0.7976)	1.0000	0.58284 (<0.0001)**
DPS	0.96131 (<0.0001)**	-0.12777 (0.3306)	0.03681 (0.7801)	0.58284 (<0.0001)**	1.000

Note: **Significant at 1%; * Significant at 5 percent

The empirical results from our static panel data analysis are presented in Table 4 below. The Pooled OLS serves as a useful baseline model for comparison with Fixed Effect and Random Effect models. Looking at the p-value from the BP test, it is evident that the null hypothesis is rejected implying the Pooled OLS model is not applicable. We move on to the Hausman test and its p-value is significant at 5 percent level. This result indicates that Fixed Effect model is the preferred estimation method in this study. Based upon Fixed Effect model, both DY and DPS are significantly related to CP. The positive coefficient of DPS may suggest that any increase in dividend payment to shareholders would trigger potential increase in share price in the near future. As mentioned by Narinder and Aakarsh (2019) in their study on Indian Stock Exchange, a good dividend policy will drive company's value and it is part of good corporate strategies. The results from the Fixed Effect model also show that there is no significant relationship between capital structure (as measured by DE) and CP. Although their relation is insignificant, the positive coefficient between them is consistent with Trade-Off Theory. An increase in debt financing to a certain level could help support a company's future growth which in turn will drive up the company's share price. It is also interesting to highlight that both DY and DPS are significant predictors that consistently influence the CP in all three models. As for Pecking Order theory, our empirical findings do not provide any significant evidence to substantiate its presence. The EPS remains insignificant across all three models.

Table 4: Parameter Estimates of Pooled OLS and Static Panel Data Models

Variable	Pooled OLS	Random Effect	Fixed Effect
DE	0.000775 (0.0727)*	0.000228 (0.5050)	0.000016 (0.9602)
DY	-0.04102 (0.0209)**	-0.04014 (0.0169)**	-0.03449 (0.0299)**
EPS	0.581853 (0.1996)	-0.1247 (0.7712)	0.266094 (0.5444)
DPS	21.898 (<0.0001)**	19.93154 (<0.0001)**	6.886956 (0.0613)*
Root Mean Square Error (RMSE)	0.5676	0.4052	0.3537
R-squared	0.9358	0.7272	0.9819
Breusch and Pagan (BP)		13.00 (0.0015)**	
Hausman Test		19.11 (0.0007)**	

Note: **significant at 5%; *significant at 10%; Figure in () is p-value

Besides R-squared, root mean square error (RMSE) is one of the important measures in fit statistics. It is a metric that explains the average distance between the predicted values from our estimated model and the actual values in our dataset. Statistically, we prefer a lower RMSE which implies a good fitting of our model into a given dataset. From a fit statistics standpoint, the Fixed Effect model is undoubtedly the best estimation method as it delivers the highest R-squared together with the lowest RMSE.

CONCLUSIONS

In an effort to manage the expectation of both shareholders and stakeholders, transportation and logistics companies in Malaysia may need to concentrate on business strategies that can help increase their future earnings. Besides capital decisions, some of the strategies may involve optimization of financial resources, diversification of revenue streams, and deployment of digital technology. A good and dynamic dividend policy shall provide guidelines that a company could use to decide on future financing alternatives. Furthermore, the empirical results from this study strongly endorse the Trade-off and Penrose's Growth theories, which underscore the importance of capital structure and investment in technologies in sustaining future growth of companies. These findings are consistent with the work of Dao and Ta (2020). In light of these theories, Malaysian transportation and logistics companies may also wish to consider implementing a credible capital structure and adopting the latest digital technologies that are consistent with the underlying

corporate objective of risk mitigation and value maximization. In doing so, these pragmatic approaches stand a good chance to sustain long-term business growth and financial resilience.

Wolfgang *et al* (2013) examine capital structure decisions involving 115 globally-listed shipping companies and their study points out that it is normal for transportation and logistics industry to exhibit high leverage ratio. They emphasize that asset tangibility is positively related to both operating and financial leverage and its economic impact is more pronounced in the shipping and logistics sector. Hence, a good understanding in finance strategy so as to achieve an optimal capital structure is critical for corporate managers. The outcomes of our study do have some important implications for transportation and logistics companies' risk management actions. In summary, this study emphasizes the critical role of financing decision and adoption of new technology which in turn will influence market perception on the intrinsic value of Malaysian Shariah-compliant companies in the Transportation and Logistics sector.

References

- Abdul Razak, N. H., & Rosli, M. N. (2014). A Test between Pecking Order Hypothesis and Static Trade-Off Theory: An Analysis from Malaysian Listed Firms for Periods of Year 2007 To 2012. *International Journal of Business & Commerce*, 3(5), 99-117.
- Baskin, J. (1989). Dividend policy and the volatility of common stocks. *The Journal of Portfolio Management*, 15(3), 19-25.
- Chirinko, R. S., & Singha, A. R. (2000). Testing Static Tradeoff against Pecking Order Models of Capital Structure: A Critical Comment. *Journal of Financial Economics*, 58, 412-425. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0304-405X\(00\)00078-7](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0304-405X(00)00078-7)
- Dao, B.T.T. and Ta, T.D.N. (2020). A meta-analysis: capital structure and firm performance. *Journal of Economics and Development*, 22(1), 111-129. <https://doi.org/10.1108/JED-12-2019-0072>
- DeAngelo, H., & Masulis, R. W. (1980). Optimal Capital Structure Under Corporate and Personal Taxation. *Journal of Financial Economics*, 8(1), 3-27. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0304-405X\(80\)90019-7](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0304-405X(80)90019-7)
- Delcours, N. (2007). The Determinants of Capital Structure in Transitional Economies. *International Review of Economics and Finance*, 16, 400-415. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.iref.2005.03.005>
- Donaldson, G. (1961). *Corporate Debt Capacity: A Study of Corporate Debt Policy and the Determination of Corporate Debt Capacity*. Harvard Graduate School of Business Administration.
- Fama, E.F. (1970). Efficient Capital Markets: A Review of Theory and Empirical Work. *Journal of Finance*, 25(1), 383-417.
- Flannery, M. J., & Rangan, K. P. (2006). Partial Adjustment toward Target Capital Structure. *Journal of Financial Economics*, 79, 469-506. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jfineco.2005.03.004>
- Frank, M. Z., & Goyal, V. K. (2003). Testing the Pecking Order Theory of Capital Structure. *Journal of Financial Economics*, 67, 217-248. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0304-405X\(02\)00252-0](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0304-405X(02)00252-0)
- Gordon, M. (1963). Optimal Investment and Financing Policy. *The Journal of Finance*, 18(2), 264-272.
- Frost & Sullivan. (2023). Growth Opportunities in the APAC UCaaS Market. Available from: <http://www.frost.com/store.frost.com/growth-opportunities-in-the-apac-ucaas-market.html> [Last retrieved on 2024 Oct 8].
- Gooris, J., & Peeters, C. (2016). Fragmenting global business processes: A protection for proprietary information. *Journal of International Business Studies*, 47(5): 535-562.
- Gordon, M. J. (1963). Optimal investment and financing policy. *The Journal of finance*, 18(2), 264-272.
- Graham, J. R. (1996). Debt and Marginal Tax Rate. *Journal of Financial Economics*, 41, 41-73. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0304-405X\(95\)00857-B](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0304-405X(95)00857-B)
- Groth, J.C. & Anderson, R. C. (1997). Capital structure: perspectives for managers. *Management Decision*, 35(7), 552-561. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1108/00251749710170529>
- Harris, M. and Raviv, A. (1991) The Theory of Capital Structure. *Journal of Finance*, 46, 297-355. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1540-6261.1991.tb03753.x>
- Hovakimian, A., Hovakimian, G., & Tehranian, H. (2004). Determinants of target capital structure: The case of dual debt and equity issues. *Journal of Financial Economics*, 71(3), 517-540. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0303-405X\(03\)00181-8](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0303-405X(03)00181-8)

- Jum'a, L., Zimon, D., & Ikram, M. (2021). A relationship between supply chain practices, environmental sustainability and financial performance: evidence from manufacturing companies in Jordan. *Sustainability*, 13(4), 2152. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su13042152>
- Karim, B.A., Karim, Z.A. & Nasharuddin, M.N. (2018). Corruption and Foreign Direct Investment in ASEAN-5: A Panel Evidence. *Economics and Finance in Indonesia*, 64(2), 145-156.
- Kester, C. W. (1986). Capital and Ownership Structure: A Comparison of United States and Japanese Manufacturing Corporation. *Financial Management*, 15, 5-16. <http://dx.doi.org/10.2307/3665273>
- Lemmon, M. L., & Zender, J. F. (2004). Debt Capacity and Tests of Capital Structure Theories. Working Paper. University of Utah.
- Long, M., & Malitz, I. (1985). The Investment-financing Nexus: Some Empirical Evidence. *Midland Corporate Finance Journal*, 3, 53-59.
- Modigliani, F., & Miller, M. H. (1958). The Cost of Capital, Corporation Finance, and the Theory of Investments. *American Economic Review*, 48, 261-297.
- Myers S.C. (1977). Determinants of capital borrowing, *Journal of Finance Economics*, 5, 5147-5175.
- Narinder, P. S., & Aakarsh, T. (2019). The Effect of Dividend Policy on Stock Price: Evidence from the Indian Market. *Asia-Pacific Journal of Management Research and Innovation*, 15(1-2), 7-15. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1177/2319510X19825729>
- Nazir, N., Ali, D., & Sabir, D. (2014). Impact of Dividend Policy on Stock Price Volatility: A Case Study of Pakistani Capital Market. *European Journal of Business and Management*, 6(11), 49-61.
- Penrose, E. T. (1959). *The Theory of the Growth of the Firm*. New York: John Wiley.
- Rajan, R. G., & Zingales, L. (1995). What Do We Know about Capital Structure? Some Evidence from International Data. *Journal of Finance*, 50, 1421-1460. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1540-6261.1995.tb05184.x>
- Schwartz, E., & Aronson, J. R. (1967). Some Surrogate Evidence in Support of the Concept of Optimal Financial Structure. *Journal of Finance*, 22, 10-18.
- Serrasquero, Z., & Caetano, A. (2015). Trade-Off Theory versus Pecking Order Theory: Capital structure decisions in a peripheral region of Portugal. *Journal of Business Economics and Management*, 16(2), 445-466. <http://dx.doi.org/10.3846/16111699.2012.744344>
- Shyam-Sunder, L., & Myers, S. C. (1999). Testing Static Tradeoff against Pecking Order Models of Capital Structure. *Journal of Financial Economics*, 51, 219-244. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0304-405X\(98\)00051-8](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0304-405X(98)00051-8)
- Smith, C. W., & Watts, R. L. (1992). The Investment Opportunity Set and Corporate Financing, Dividend and Compensation Policies. *Journal of Financial Economics*, 21, 263-292. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0304-405X\(92\)90029-W](https://doi.org/10.1016/0304-405X(92)90029-W)
- Titman, S., & Wessels, R. (1988). The Determinants of Capital Structure Choice. *Journal of Finance*, 43, 1-21. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1540-6261.1988.tb02585.x>
- Wald, J. K. (1999). How Firm Characteristics Affect Capital Structure: An International Comparison. *Journal of Financial Research*, 22, 161-187. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1475-6803.1999.tb00721.x>
- Wolfgang, D., Dimitrios, G., Andreas, M. & Henning, S. (2013). Capital structure decisions of globally-listed shipping companies. *Transportation Research Part E: Logistics and Transportation Review*, 52, 49-76. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tre.2012.11.008>

Appendix 1: List of 12 Shariah-compliant companies in transportation & logistics sector

COMPANY	YEAR	CP	DE	DY	DPS	EPS
1.MISC BERHAD	2018	6.7	35.8868	4.4776	0.294	0.3
Maritime Shipping	2019	8.35	36.7855	3.5928	0.32	0.3
	2020	6.87	40.5428	4.8035	-0.01	0.33
	2021	7.05	48.7589	4.6809	0.41	0.33
	2022	7.5	46.6308	4.4	0.408	0.33
2.WESTPORTS HOLDINGS BHD	2018	3.62	74.7033	3.6878	0.1564	0.1173
Port Management	2019	4.21	67.2726	3.1045	0.1733	0.13
	2020	4.3	48.2766	2.6302	0.1919	0.1152
	2021	4.05	39.0284	3.6963	0.237	0.1778
	2022	3.8	31.7719	4.2605	0.2052	0.1437
3.BINTULU PORT HOLDINGS BHD	2018	4.5	120.2793	4	0.324	0.14
Port Management	2019	4.45	115.0641	4.0449	0.2811	0.14
	2020	3.83	104.3101	2.611	0.2028	0.1
	2021	4.8	75.9019	2.2917	0.7895	0.12
	2022	4.8	64.1049	2.9167	0.2777	0.14
4.GDEX BHD	2018	0.265	8.3869	0.9434	0.0058	0.0025
Transportation	2019	0.27	8.3869	0.7407	0.0058	0.0025
	2020	0.4	14.7814	0.625	0.004	0.002
	2021	0.285	14.1678	1.4035	0.0048	0.002
	2022	0.15	24.5343	1.3333	-0.0031	0.0015
5.SURIA CAPITAL HOLDINGS BHD	2018	1.375	7.709	5.7576	0.1276	0.0292
Port Management	2019	1.27	2.0922	3.937	0.151	0.025
and Logistics	2020	1.08	1.7017	3.2407	0.0953	0.023
	2021	1.19	0.9215	3.1933	0.1143	0.015
	2022	1.13	5.5973	3.5398	0.171	0.015
6.FM GLOBAL LOGISTICS HOLDINGS	2018	0.265	24.0174	6.2893	0.0244	0.02
Transportation and	2019	0.305	29.6355	5.7377	0.0216	0.005
Logistics	2020	0.458	29.3357	3.2787	0.0484	0.025
	2021	0.865	54.0289	2.3121	0.0816	0.04
	2022	0.55	37.2861	10.909	0.0752	0.04
7.BOUSTEAD HEAVY INDUSTRIES	2018	1.31	117.6154	1.145	-0.436	0
Ship Building and	2019	1.24	267.0984	1.1719	-0.4694	0
Repairs	2020	0.575	456.9954	1.1719	-0.2036	0
	2021	0.48	366.5289	1.1719	0.0611	0
	2022	0.415	418.1974	1.1719	-0.0802	0
8.HEXTAR TECHNOLOGIES SOLUTIONS	2018	0.043	12.0142	0	0.0054	0
Logistics and	2019	0.041	17.6063	24.242	0.0229	0.01

Technology	2020	0.044	20.3342	22.857	0.0019	0.01
	2021	0.157	10.7174	12.598	0.0063	0
	2022	1.066	17.9249	2.3447	0.002	0
9.XIN HWA HOLDINGS BHD	2018	0.725	44.5053	1.1561	0.0076	0
Logistics	2019	0.46	66.4536	1.087	0.005	0.005
	2020	0.46	73.7887	1.6129	0.0137	0
	2021	0.3	72.1916	1.6129	0.0194	0
	2022	0.24	78.2183	1.6129	-0.0636	0
10.TASCO BHD	2018	0.25	99.5231	4.5	0.0163	0.0125
Logistics	2019	0.3	67.3451	2.0833	0.0111	0.0113
	2020	0.753	49.6108	1.3289	0.0516	0
	2021	1.16	42.4352	1.9397	0.0816	0.01
	2022	0.9	41.971	1.6667	0.1135	0.035
11.POS MALAYSIA	2018	1.72	20.0786	4.6512	0.119	0.08
Logistics	2019	1.48	60.4296	2.7027	-0.4557	0
	2020	1.21	1352.1593	4.9383	-0.393	0
	2021	0.655	104.1564	4.9383	-0.429	0
	2022	0.605	126.7995	4.9383	-0.214	0
12.SEE HUP CONSOLIDATED BERHAD	2018	1	37.6922	2.7	-0.057	0.018
Transportation and	2019	1	49.2531	1.8	-0.0827	0
Logistics	2020	1	48.3336	2.1429	-0.0409	0.0117
	2021	1.26	39.1682	2.8571	0.3089	0.036
	2022	1.15	39.7771	2.3478	-0.0224	0.027